

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/975,991	HURSEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bao tran N. To	2135	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Bao tran N. To.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kevin J. Zilka (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1-2, 4-10, 12-17, 26, and 28-31

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner contacted Kevin J. Zilka (Application's Representative) to get the authority to correct the typographical errors.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)